THU

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Teresa MUJICA-FERNAUD et al.

Examiner: OWENS, Amelia A.

Serial No.: 10/725,349

Group Art Unit: 1625

Filed: December 2, 2003

Title: 2-BENZOYLCHROMONE DERIVATIVES

## **REPLY**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Office Action mailed on March 7, 2005, applicants elect with traverse Group I, drawn to claims 1-7. The traversal is on the grounds that the patent office has not established that it would pose an undue burden to examine the full scope of the claimed invention.

Additionally, applicants bring the attention of the Examiner to MPEP § 821.04, Rejoinder, which states that "if the elected invention is directed to the product and the claims directed to the product are subsequently found patentable, process claims [both process of making and using] which either depend from or include all the limitations of the allowable product will be rejoined." If the restriction requirement is maintained at this point, rejoinder of the non-elected claims is respectfully requested at the proper time in accord with the rejoinder provisions of the MPEP.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908 Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969 Attorneys for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza I 2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400 Arlington, Virginia 22201

Direct Dial: 703-812-5331 Facsimile: 703-243-6410

Filed: March 23, 2005

(pdr)K:\MERCK\2805\REJOINDER REPLY.DOC